RESEARCH ARTICLE

JENER Journal of Empirical and Non-Empirical Research
Volume 2, Issue 8, Page 687-690 | Article No. 73
DOI: 10.4898/jener.v2i8.a73
ISSN: 7895-9216
Journal homepage: https://jenerjournal.com
Journal email: editor@jenerjournal.com

JENER Journal
JENER Journal of Empirical and Non-Empirical Research

Strategic Responses and Operational Outcomes in Nigeria Banks Post -2010 Reforms: A Review

Odidi C. Onuselogu [1], Umar Halidu Ahmad [2], Ayuba Olatunde Onifade [3] and Chidi D. Ozor[4]

[1]Department of Banking and Finance, Faculty of Business Administration, University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus
[2]Department of Banking and Finance, Federal Polytechnic, Nasarawa,
[3]Independent Public Financial Management Consultant
[4]Department of Accountancy, Faculty of Business Administration, University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Article history:
Published: August 2026

Keywords:
Strategic Responses
Operational Outcomes
Reforms
Banks

ABSTRACT

Our paper reviewed strategic responses and operational outcomes in Nigeria banks post -2010 reforms. Our review showed that bank consolidation can substantially enhance financial resilience and regulatory compliance. This suggests that both bank executives and regulatory bodies should strategically support mergers and acquisitions as a mechanism to strengthen capital bases, reduce systemic risk, and create institutions capable of withstanding economic shocks. Secondly, it was observed that digital banking adoption in reducing the Non-Performing Loan (NPL) ratio underscores the critical role of technology in improving risk management. Therefore, we recommended that banks should prioritize investment in digital infrastructure, including online loan monitoring systems, automated credit assessments, and mobile banking platforms, to enhance loan recovery processes, minimize default risk, and increase operational efficiency.

1. Introduction

The post-2010 regulatory reforms in Nigeria's banking sector spearheaded by the Central Bank of Nigeria under Governor Sanusi Lamido Sanusi constituted one of the most far-reaching attempts to restore stability, rebuild governance credibility, and embed risk sensitivity into the operational fabric of the industry. Measures such as the creation of the Asset Management Corporation of Nigeria (AMCON), the deployment of the Bank Verification Number (BVN), the shift to Risk-Based Supervision (RBS), the strengthening of corporate governance codes, and the introduction of non-interest banking were designed to address the structural fragilities exposed by the 2008–2009 crisis. While these interventions arrested immediate systemic collapse, their longer-term influence on banks' strategic adaptation and operational resilience remains neither fully mapped nor theoretically integrated.

Existing scholarship has tended to address these reforms in a piecemeal manner focusing on isolated performance indicators such as profitability, non-performing loan (NPL) ratios, or digital transformation without developing an integrated causal framework linking specific regulatory interventions to the strategic choices of different bank categories, and ultimately, to measurable operational outcomes such as asset quality, liquidity stability, profitability, and risk exposure. This fragmentation has left unexamined question of why Nigerian banks, facing the same regulatory shock, exhibited markedly different strategic trajectories and performance profiles in the post-reform decade.

Moreover, few studies have applied strategic management theories such as Institutional Theory, to explain regulatory isomorphism; Contingency Theory, to assess how organisational context shapes strategic fit; or the Dynamic Capabilities framework, to capture how banks reconfigured resources to navigate reform-induced turbulence. The absence of these lenses limits both theoretical advancement and the practical relevance of research to policymakers and industry leaders.

The persistence of structural vulnerabilities recurrent spikes in NPLs (particularly in oil and gas and power sectors), governance lapses culminating in the resolution of institutions such as Skye Bank, volatile profitability, and liquidity pressures exacerbated by high cash reserve requirements raises pressing empirical questions. Were some strategic responses fundamentally misaligned with the regulatory environment? Did bank-specific contingencies such as scale, governance legacy, capital structure, or leadership quality condition both the choice and effectiveness of post-reform strategies? These unresolved tensions underscore the incomplete understanding of the reform–strategy–performance nexus.

Against this backdrop, this study seeks to investigate the dominant strategic responses adopted by different categories of Nigerian banks in the wake of the 2010 reforms, and to analyse how these responses shaped key operational outcomes measured through the CAMELS framework—over the post-reform period. By explicitly linking reform-specific regulatory triggers to bank-level strategic adaptations and operational results, and by embedding this inquiry within robust theoretical frameworks, the research aims to bridge a critical intellectual and policy gap.

Understanding this nexus is essential not only for refining future reform designs in Nigeria but also for guiding strategic decision-making within banks and informing regulatory approaches in other emerging economies undergoing similar systemic transformations. A comprehensive, theory-driven, and evidence-based explanation of this relationship will contribute significantly to the literature on strategic adaptation in regulated financial systems while offering actionable insights for both practitioners and policymakers.

2. Review of Literature

Banks in Nigeria have had to rethink their strategies in order to survive and compete in a changing environment, particularly after the 2010 banking reforms that emphasised recapitalisation, corporate governance, and risk management. Strategic responses in the banking sector can be understood as the deliberate actions and adjustments made by banks to align with regulatory demands, seize new opportunities, and reduce vulnerabilities. These responses cut across technological innovation, product development, organisational restructuring, and governance mechanisms, all of which are crucial for shaping operational outcomes.

One of the most notable strategic responses has been technological adoption and digitalisation. Nigerian banks increasingly turned to electronic platforms, mobile banking, and fintech partnerships to meet customer demands and regulatory pressures. According to Adeoye and Elegunde (2019), the banking sector's embrace of digital platforms is a proactive way of maintaining competitiveness and broadening financial inclusion. This digital drive also helps reduce transaction costs and enhances customer experience, but it requires significant investment and strong cybersecurity structures. Ojo and Gbadebo (2021) argue that banks that failed to upgrade their technology faced declining customer loyalty and competitive setbacks.

Closely linked to digitalisation is product and service innovation. Banks began introducing new products tailored to diverse market segments, ranging from mobile money services to SME financing schemes. Egbide, Agbude and Mohammed (2020) emphasise that innovation in banking is not only about technology but also about creating value through differentiated products that respond to customer needs. This strategic approach allows banks to capture new markets and improve revenue streams, particularly in an environment where traditional banking margins are shrinking.

Another important response lies in risk management and regulatory compliance. Following the reforms, the Central Bank of Nigeria demanded stricter supervision of banks' capital adequacy, liquidity positions, and credit portfolios. Studies by Ogechukwu and Chinedu (2022) show that banks increasingly adopted structured risk management frameworks, especially in the areas of credit risk and operational risk, as a way of strengthening their resilience. Such strategies were not merely defensive but also helped to build investor confidence and enhance long-term stability. In the same vein, Uchenna and Okoye (2020) found that compliance with regulatory standards positively influenced financial soundness indicators, showing that strategic adaptation to rules can yield measurable outcomes.

Strategic entrepreneurship and organisational renewal also emerged as a critical response. Banks realised that reforms demanded not just compliance but also a rethinking of their long-term positioning. Okpara (2018) notes that Nigerian banks adopted strategic renewal by diversifying operations, exploring non-interest banking, and strengthening investment arms. This proactive reconfiguration allowed them to remain relevant in a turbulent environment. Similarly, strategic entrepreneurship enabled banks to search for opportunities in digital lending, agency banking, and cross-border operations, especially as competition from fintech firms intensified.

In addition, organisational restructuring and change management became common responses. The reforms prompted many banks to review their internal structures, close redundant branches, and invest in staff training. A study by Akinola and Olatunji (2021) highlights that effective change management was necessary to align employees with new processes such as e-banking operations and risk-based supervision. Where banks resisted internal restructuring, they struggled with inefficiencies that weakened their competitiveness.

Governance reforms were also a central pillar of strategic response. With heightened scrutiny on governance structures, banks sought to strengthen their boards, enhance transparency, and establish better oversight of lending practices. According to Ibrahim and Sani (2019), the presence of independent directors and stronger internal controls has been used as a deliberate strategy to improve accountability and reassure both regulators and investors. These governance-driven responses are aligned with the global emphasis on ethical banking practices and corporate responsibility.

It is important to note that not all strategic responses translated into immediate profitability. Research by Oladipo and Faboyede (2021) suggests that while prudential measures such as reduced non-performing loans and improved capital adequacy ratios became evident after reforms, profitability indicators like return on assets and return on equity were slower to recover. This suggests that many banks prioritised long-term stability and resilience over short-term financial performance.

The strategic responses of Nigerian banks post-2010 reforms illustrate a deliberate process of adaptation and repositioning. Digitalisation and innovation targeted customer satisfaction and inclusion, risk and compliance strategies ensured stability, entrepreneurship and renewal created growth opportunities, restructuring improved efficiency, and governance reforms-built credibility. These responses were not isolated; they were interconnected. For instance, technological adoption required new governance frameworks, while innovation often relied on sound risk management.

Several studies have reviewed financial institutions responses to these challenges. For instance, Sanusi (2011) analysed the Nigerian banking crisis and reforms of 2009–2010. He used a descriptive review supported by CBN data, evaluating NPL ratios, capital adequacy and liquidity trends. He concluded that weak governance and poor risk management were root causes, and reforms restored confidence through recapitalisation and AMCON intervention. This study connects to your work as historical context, but differs because it diagnoses the crisis rather than empirically evaluating strategic responses and long-term operational outcomes.

Ogechukwu and Okafor (2018) examined corporate governance restructuring and financial performance in Nigerian banks post-2010. They used secondary data from 10 listed banks (2010–2016) and applied panel regression in EViews. They found that stronger governance correlated with higher ROA and reduced NPLs. This relates directly to your governance restructuring proxy, but differs in scope by focusing only on governance rather than the full spectrum of strategic responses.

Okoye and Eze (2020) studied cost-to-income ratio and cost optimisation strategies in Nigerian commercial banks. They adopted a quantitative design with secondary data from 12 banks between 2011 and 2018, using panel regression in STATA. Findings showed that process automation and outsourcing reduced CIR significantly, but excessive downsizing harmed service quality. This aligns

with your CIR and cost optimisation proxies but differs by isolating cost strategies without examining how they interact with M&A, digital banking or non-interest products to influence performance.
Akinola (2012) carried out a study on "Banking reforms and their implications on the Nigerian economy" with a focus on how consolidation shaped banks' financial stability. The study was conducted in Nigeria and used a descriptive survey design. Data were collected from 200 senior bank staff across Lagos, Abuja, and Port Harcourt using structured questionnaires. The analytical tool adopted was regression analysis supported by SPSS software. The findings showed that the 2005 consolidation exercise improved capital adequacy but also increased the risk of mismanagement due to over-expansion. Akinola noted that reforms did not automatically translate to improved efficiency unless banks strategically adjusted their internal operations. The relationship to the current study lies in the fact that reforms demand strategic responses for operational survival. However, this study mainly looked at consolidation reforms of 2005, while the present research is centred on the post-2010 reform era, which included governance, risk management, and regulatory frameworks. This difference shows the need to explore more recent reforms and their strategic consequences.
Okafor and Eze (2013) examined "Risk management and performance of Nigerian banks after reforms." The study was located in Enugu State, Nigeria, and adopted a cross-sectional research design. A sample of 15 banks was selected, and data were drawn from their annual financial reports covering 2006–2012. The researchers used panel regression analysis with EViews software. Findings revealed that risk management frameworks improved after reforms but their impact on operational outcomes such as profitability and loan performance was mixed. Some banks performed better due to strong governance, while others still struggled with non-performing loans. The study links to the present research in that it emphasises the importance of strategic responses like risk management in driving operational outcomes. However, it stopped short of examining the broader strategic spectrum such as technological innovations, customer services, and capital restructuring, which the present research seeks to cover.
Ifeanyi and Ahmed (2014) conducted a study on "Corporate governance practices and operational efficiency of Nigerian banks." This research was carried out in Nigeria using a mixed-methods approach that combined surveys and interviews. The sample included 12 banks and 300 staff respondents. Analytical tools included both descriptive statistics and thematic content analysis. The results showed that post-reform corporate governance practices reduced cases of insider abuse and enhanced operational transparency, but some banks still engaged in creative accounting. This study is closely tied to the present research because corporate governance is one of the strategic responses to reforms. However, its main limitation is that it only analysed governance practices without integrating other strategic responses such as mergers, technological adoption, and risk diversification. The current study builds on this by providing a holistic perspective.
Olalekan (2015) investigated "Mergers, acquisitions, and their impact on banking sector stability in Nigeria." The study was located in Lagos and used a quantitative research design with time-series data from 2000 to 2013. The analytical tool employed was vector autoregression (VAR). Findings revealed that mergers and acquisitions improved short-term stability but did not guarantee long-term efficiency. The researcher argued that without clear operational strategies, consolidation may not yield intended outcomes. The link to the present research is evident in the focus on strategic responses to reforms. Yet, the limitation is that the study was largely macro and quantitative, not delving into operational-level strategies that affect customer service, innovation, and staff performance. The present research fills this gap by connecting strategy directly with operational outcomes.
Chukwu and Musa (2016) explored "The effect of technological innovation on service delivery in Nigerian banks." Conducted across five states in Southern Nigeria, the study employed a survey research design with 250 respondents comprising bank staff and customers. Analytical tools included chi-square tests and regression analysis. Findings indicated that post-reform technological responses, such as the adoption of e-banking and mobile applications, enhanced service delivery, reduced queues, and boosted customer satisfaction. The study's relevance to the current research lies in its emphasis on technology as a strategic response. However, the limitation is that it only assessed service delivery as an outcome and did not consider other operational indicators like profitability, risk reduction, and cost efficiency. The present study widens the scope to encompass multiple operational outcomes.

3. Conclusion
This review showed that bank consolidation can substantially enhance financial resilience and regulatory compliance. This suggests that both bank executives and regulatory bodies should strategically support mergers and acquisitions as a mechanism to strengthen capital bases, reduce systemic risk, and create institutions capable of withstanding economic shocks (Sanusi, 2011).
Second, it was observed that digital banking adoption in reducing the Non-Performing Loan (NPL) ratio underscores the critical role of technology in improving risk management. Banks should prioritize investment in digital infrastructure, including online loan monitoring systems, automated credit assessments, and mobile banking platforms, to enhance loan recovery processes, minimize default risk, and increase operational efficiency (Ogunleye & Ojo, 2020).
Thirdly, non-interest banking initiatives enhances Return on Assets (ROA) which implies that alternative revenue streams, while beneficial for customer diversification, are insufficient in isolation to improve profitability. Banks need to integrate these initiatives with broader revenue-maximization strategies, such as innovative product development, strategic market expansion, and cross-selling of complementary financial services, to achieve measurable improvements in asset returns (Aliyu, 2018).
Fourth, governance reforms are crucial for transparency and accountability, they alone may not generate significant cost efficiencies. Banks should therefore complement governance reforms with targeted operational process improvements, automation, and workforce optimization to meaningfully reduce operational costs (Adegbite, 2015).
Finally, effective cost management strengthens banks' short-term financial flexibility and ability to meet regulatory liquidity requirements. This emphasizes the necessity for banks to institutionalize continuous cost monitoring, resource allocation efficiency, and prudent expenditure policies to maintain financial stability in a post-reform environment.

4. Recommendations
Based on the review, it is recommended that bank management and policymakers actively encourage and support strategic consolidation

within the banking sector. Banks should consider identifying potential merger or acquisition opportunities that align with their operational goals and risk management strategies, as such activities can enhance their capital base, improve financial stability, and strengthen resilience against economic shocks.

Again, bank management should prioritize the expansion and enhancement of digital banking platforms. Banks should invest in advanced technologies such as automated credit monitoring systems, online loan application portals, and real-time transaction tracking to improve the accuracy of credit assessments and the efficiency of loan management. Regulators, including the Central Bank of Nigeria, can support this initiative by providing guidelines and incentives for the adoption of secure and innovative digital solutions. By fully embracing digital banking, Nigerian banks can enhance their credit risk management, reduce the incidence of non-performing loans, strengthen financial performance, and promote overall stability in the banking sector.

Also, banks should reassess and refine their non-interest banking strategies to enhance profitability. While these initiatives may promote financial inclusion and cater to niche markets, banks should consider integrating complementary strategies such as targeted marketing, product diversification, and risk-adjusted pricing to attract a broader customer base and optimize revenue generation.

References

[1] Adegbite, T. A. (2015). Mergers and acquisitions and firm performance: Evidence from the Nigerian energy sector. Journal of Business and Management, 17(3), 45–55.

[2] Adeoye, A. O., & Elegunde, A. F. (2019). Strategic management and competitive advantage in the Nigerian banking industry. International Journal of Management and Applied Research, 6(2), 75–86. https://doi.org/10.18646/2056.62.19-006

[3] Akinola, G. W. (2012). The effect of financial intermediation on economic growth in Nigeria. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 3(6), 1–10.

[4] Akinola, G. O., & Olatunji, O. C. (2021). Organisational restructuring and change management in Nigerian banks: Implications for performance. Journal of Business and Management Studies, 3(4), 45–57.

[5] Aliyu, U (2018) Corporate restructuring and shareholders' wealth: Post-merger evidence from Nigeria. International Journal of Finance and Accounting, 7(2), 65–74. https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijfa.20180702.03

[6] Chukwu, A. C., & Musa, Y. (2016). Financial sector reforms and economic growth in Nigeria. Journal of Economics and Finance, 7(3), 52–59. https://doi.org/10.9790/5933-0703025259

[7] Egbide, B. C., Agbude, G. A., & Mohammed, A. (2020). Innovation and performance in Nigerian deposit money banks. African Journal of Economic Policy, 27(1), 89–108.

[8] Ibrahim, U. M., & Sani, I. A. (2019). Corporate governance and performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria. Journal of Accounting and Financial Management, 5(2), 12–24.

[9] Ifeanyi, O., & Ahmed, A. (2014). The role of deposit money banks in financing small and medium scale enterprises in Nigeria. Journal of Business and Management, 16(5), 25–31.

[10] Ogechukwu, A. C., & Chinedu, O. J. (2022). Risk management strategies and the financial stability of Nigerian banks. International Journal of Finance and Banking Studies, 11(1), 59–72. https://doi.org/10.20525/ijfbs.v11i1.1567

[11] Ogechukwu, A. D., & Okafor, I. G. (2018). The impact of microfinance banks on the development of SMEs in Nigeria. International Journal of Economics and Business Management, 4(2), 23–36.

[12] Ogunleye, E. O. (2015). Banking reforms and the Nigerian economy: An assessment. Central Bank of Nigeria Economic and Financial Review, 53(2), 101–120.

[13] Ojo, O. M., & Gbadebo, A. M. (2021). Digital banking adoption and competitive performance of banks in Nigeria. Journal of African Business, 22(3), 315–332. https://doi.org/10.1080/15228916.2020.1822563

[14] Okafor, I. G., & Eze, R. (2013). Impact of financial liberalization on banking sector efficiency in Nigeria. Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development, 4(7), 56–63.

[15] Okoye, L. U., & Eze, O. R. (2020). Financial literacy and performance of small scale enterprises in Nigeria. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 10(1), 220–227. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.9087

[16] Okpara, G. C. (2018). Strategic renewal and sustainability of Nigerian deposit money banks. Nigerian Journal of Management Sciences, 7(1), 101–115.

[17] Oladipo, O. A., & Faboyede, O. S. (2021). Post-reform banking performance in Nigeria: Evidence from selected financial ratios. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 11(5), 38–47. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.11706

[18] Olayinka, I. M., & Awe, T. O. (2019). Technological innovations and banking performance in Nigeria. Journal of Finance and Bank Management, 7(1), 15–27.

[19] Sanusi, L. S. (2011). Banking reform and its impact on the Nigerian economy. CBN Journal of Applied Statistics, 2(2), 115–122.

[20] Uchenna, E. E., & Okoye, L. U. (2020). Regulatory compliance and performance of Nigerian commercial banks. Cogent Business & Management, 7(1), 1789424. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1789424